

**PUBLIC COPY**


**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

*M*



U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20536

**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**



FILE:



Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

Date:

**APR 07 2004**

IN RE: Applicant



APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. §1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The applicant filed her initial TPS application on July 3, 2002. The director determined that the applicant failed to submit evidence to establish that she was eligible for filing after the initial registration period from January 5, 1999 to August 20, 1999. The director, therefore, denied the application.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B) states:

*Untimely appeal—(1) Rejection without refund of filing fee.* An appeal which is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee the Service has accepted will not be refunded.

*(2) Untimely appeal treated as motion.* If an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen as described in § 103.5(a)(2) of this part or a motion to reconsider as described in § 103.5(a)(3) of this part, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

The applicant, on appeal, states she will be submitting additional evidence within 30 days from January 23, 2003. To date, however, no additional evidence has been received. Therefore, the record will be considered complete.

Whenever a person has the right or is required to do some act within a prescribed period after the service of a notice and the notice is served by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. Service by mail is complete upon mailing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The decision, dated December 18, 2002, clearly advised the applicant that any appeal must be filed within thirty days. Coupled with three days for mailing, the appeal in this case should have been filed on or before January 20, 2003. The appeal was dated by the applicant on January 23, 2003 and received on January 31, 2003.

Based on the applicant's failure to file a timely appeal, the appeal must be rejected.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected